

Gregory L. Diskant (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Eugene M. Gelernter (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP  
1133 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036-6710  
Telephone: (212) 336-2000  
Facsimile: (212) 336-2222  
Email: [gldiskant@pbwt.com](mailto:gldiskant@pbwt.com)  
[emgelernter@pbwt.com](mailto:emgelernter@pbwt.com)

Charles D. Hoffmann (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Sean R. Marshall (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
HOFFMANN MARSHALL STRONG LLP  
116 W 23rd Street, Suite 500  
New York, NY 10011  
Tel/Fax: (646) 741-4501  
Email: [charlie@hmscounsel.com](mailto:charlie@hmscounsel.com)  
[sean@hmscounsel.com](mailto:sean@hmscounsel.com)

Susan Roder (S.B. #160897)  
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
2765 Sand Hill Road  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Telephone: (650) 473-2600  
Facsimile: (650) 473-2601  
Email: [sroeder@omm.com](mailto:sroeder@omm.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

LIFESCAN, INC. and  
LIFESCAN SCOTLAND, LTD.,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP., and  
PHARMATECH SOLUTIONS, INC.,  
Defendants.

LIFESCAN, INC. and  
JOHNSON & JOHNSON,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.,  
PHARMATECH SOLUTIONS, INC.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 11-cv-4494-WHO  
Case No. 12-cv-6360-WHO

**ORDER**

1 Pursuant to the Court's order (D.E. 477), Plaintiffs LifeScan Inc. and LifeScan Scotland Ltd.  
2 (collectively, "LifeScan") submit this proposed order.

3 WHEREAS LifeScan has alleged in these actions that Defendants Decision Diagnostics  
4 Corp. and PharmaTech Solutions, Inc. (collectively "PharmaTech"): (1) infringe U.S. Patent Nos.  
5 5,708,247 ('247 patent), 6,241,862 ('862 patent), and 7,250,105 ('105 patent) in the 11-4494 Case  
6 and (2) have violated the Lanham Act and related state law provisions in the 12-6360 Case; and  
7


8 WHEREAS LifeScan has advised the Court in a Motion for Administrative Relief (D.E. 469)  
9 that it desires to narrow the issues for trial by voluntarily dismissing (a) its claim for infringement of  
10 the '247 patent and its claims for monetary damages on the '862 patent in the 11-4494 Case and  
11 (b) all of its claims for monetary damages in the 12-6360 Case;

12 Having considered Plaintiffs' Motion for Administrative Relief (D.E. 469), Defendants'  
13 opposition (D.E. 470), and argument by counsel at the May 5, 2015 Case Management Conference,  
14 and for good cause shown, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 15 1. LifeScan's claim that PharmaTech infringes the '247 patent, namely Count I of LifeScan's  
16 First Amended Complaint (D.E. 170) in the 11-4494 Case, is DISMISSED WITH  
17 PREJUDICE.
- 18 2. LifeScan's claim for monetary damages based on infringement of the '862 patent in the  
19 11-4494 Case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.
- 20 3. LifeScan's claim for monetary damages based on the Lanham Act and related state law  
21 provisions in the 12-6360 Case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.
- 22 4. The stays previously entered in the 11-4494 Case remain in effect.
- 23 5. Cases 11-4494 and 12-6360 are otherwise consolidated for discovery and trial pursuant to  
24 Rule 42 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

25 SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: June 19, 2015

27   
28 Hon. William H. Orrick  
United States District Judge